

---

**From:** Planning  
**Sent:** 24 May 2017 14:10  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** Comments for Planning Application DC/17/0586

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 2:10 PM on 24 May 2017 from Mr John Steele (Horsham Society).

## Application Summary

**Address:** Car Park Hurst Road Horsham West Sussex

**Proposal:** Reconfiguration of existing car park layout, with the addition of 37 new parking spaces. Installation of entry and exit barriers as part of a new ticketless automatic number plate recognition and improved car park lighting

**Case Officer:** Amanda Wilkes

[Click for further information](#)

## Customer Details

**Name:** Mr John Steele (Horsham Society)

**Address:** 2 Old Denne Gardens, Horsham, West Sussex RH12 1JA

## Comments Details

**Commenter Type:** Member of the Public

**Stance:** Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Reasons for comment:**

- Design
- Highway Access and Parking
- Loss of General Amenity
- Trees and Landscaping

**Comments:** On behalf of Horsham Society I must reiterate our objection to this application and the revised drawings submitted.

The revision does not address the key concerns of destroying an attractive urban feature in order to maximise car parking revenue at the expense of pedestrian safety and landscaping. The circulating route within the car park remains unclear and extremely narrow to pass over the zebra crossing.

The entrance and exit is now incredibly congested and there is no indication what this will look like. The Horsham Society request that the applicant submit details and views from Hurst Road showing how it affects the street scene and the entrance to Horsham Park. We note that the planning statement now appears to need updating in line with the changes.

Overall the changes to improve the pedestrian access

are not sufficient and provide an inadequate and indirect route between car bonnets for pedestrian from Hurst Road so should be rejected.

We would like to review this application once these further details are provided. However on balance suggest that the applicant should consider withdrawing the application; then consult formally with all user groups before resubmitting a revised application.

John Steele  
Planning Sub Committee